

Five Things Physicians and Patients Should Question

1 Don't perform surgery to remove a breast lump for suspicious findings unless needle biopsy cannot be done.

- Needle biopsy is large bore core biopsy or vacuum-assisted large bore needle for histology or fine needle aspiration for cytology.
- Needle biopsy may be directed by breast imaging (ultrasound, mammographic, magnetic resonance imaging) or by direct palpation.
- Studies show that confirmation of breast cancer diagnosis prior to any surgery allows for complete multidisciplinary treatment counseling, reduces the overall number of surgical procedures needed for treatment, improves the cosmetic results of surgery and avoids mastectomy resulting from multiple surgical procedures.
- Use of needle biopsy also makes surgery altogether unnecessary for the majority of image-detected breast lesions that require biopsy but prove to be benign.
- Needle biopsy is generally less costly than open surgical biopsy.
- Some breast lesions require surgical biopsy because of a location in the breast that precludes image localization. This may apply to 10–15% of breast lesions. Surgeons performing surgical breast biopsy without preceding needle biopsy should document the reason for no needle biopsy.

2 Don't initiate surveillance testing after cancer treatment without providing the patient a survivorship care plan.

- Inappropriate or overused testing after cancer treatment is common, but provides no value in surveillance for recurrence and often leads to other unnecessary tests, potential morbidity, anxiety, uncertainty and higher cost.
- A survivorship care plan provides the patient and their primary providers an evidence-based road map for surveillance testing and supportive care.
- The Institute of Medicine identified the need for a survivorship care plan as a key factor to help cancer patients transition to long-term surveillance care, avoid unnecessary services and seek appropriate rehabilitative care and emotional support.
- A survivorship care plan includes a summary of the type and stage of the cancer, treatment received, the plan for type and frequency of surveillance testing and information on resources for rehabilitative and supportive care.
- Templates for survivorship care plans are available from organizations including the Livestrong Foundation, the National Coalition for Cancer Survivorship and the American Society of Clinical Oncology.
 - LiveStrong Care Plan: www.livestrongcareplan.org
 - JourneyForward: www.journeyforward.org
 - American Society of Clinical Oncology: www.cancer.net/survivorship/asco-cancer-treatment-summaries

Don't use surgery as the initial treatment without considering presurgical (neoadjuvant) systemic and/or radiation for cancer types and stage where it is effective at improving local cancer control, quality of life or survival.

- In many cancer types, presurgical chemotherapy, hormone/endocrine therapy and/or radiation therapy followed by surgery is better than surgery as the first treatment. This often shrinks the cancer, allowing more limited surgery that maintains organ function, reduces the chances of cancer recurrence and spread and improves the quality of life.
- For example, presurgical therapy may make mastectomy unnecessary with breast cancer, a colostomy unnecessary with rectal cancer, voice-sparing surgery possible with laryngeal cancer and amputation unnecessary with extremity soft tissue sarcoma.
- When used appropriately, there is no evidence that the cancer spreads during presurgical therapy and that cancer survival is the same or better as with initial surgery.
- Despite its known advantages, many people are not provided the advantages of presurgical therapy.
- Disease sites where this should be considered include:
 - Clinical Stage IIB and IIIA Non Small Cell Lung Cancer
 - Clinical T2-4a; Any N positive esophageal cancer
 - Clinical T3 and T4 rectal cancer
 - Clinical T2, T3 or Stage III breast cancer
 - Head and Neck cancer
 - Resectable pancreas cancer
 - Extremity soft tissue sarcomas where resection may affect functional outcomes

Don't perform major abdominal surgery or thoracic surgery without a pathway or standard protocol for postoperative pain control and pneumonia prevention.

- Uncontrolled pain and pneumonia after major abdominal and thoracic surgery are factors that lead to other serious complications and prolonged hospitalization.
- Coordinated care efforts and established care pathways to control pain and prevent pneumonia reduce the frequency of complications and reduce length of hospital stay and should be in place.
- Fewer pulmonary complications occur when adequate analgesia is provided making postoperative pain protocol and pulmonary plan as essential elements of care.
 - Facilities that conduct flow analyses in patients with lung cancer have improved quality care.
- Institutions or hospitals in collaboration with the surgeons and other medical staff should develop these pathways, standard protocol or procedures and assure their implementation.
 - Improvement efforts need to address documentation and standardization of process of care.

Don't initiate cancer treatment without defining the extent of the cancer (through clinical staging) and discussing with the patient the intent of treatment.

- Treatment intent may be diagnostic, curative, maintenance or palliative.
- Many patients, especially those with advanced or metastatic cancer, do not have a full understanding of the intent of cancer treatment – they identify that treatment may be curative when in fact it is given only with palliative intent. They often do not understand the costs, risks and potential side effects of the treatment.
- Palliative therapy may provide relief of symptoms or short-term prolongation of survival, but often can cause substantial toxic effects and can interfere with the patient's quality of life.
- This directive should be applied to all phases of cancer treatment from initial therapy to treatment for recurrent and metastatic cancer.
- Clinical staging should be performed and documented using information from history and physical examination, relevant biopsy and appropriate imaging based on the type and stage (extent) of the cancer.

How This List Was Created

The American College of Surgeons concluded in its review of this opportunity that it was optimal to submit a separate list of interventions related to cancer from the American College of Surgeons Commission on Cancer. The Commission on Cancer appointed a multidisciplinary task force that met in person in September 2012 and subsequently by conference call and electronic communications.

Recommendations for candidate interventions were solicited from panel members and other leaders from the Commission on Cancer. These panel members were provided a written charge to identify measures that would support the Commission's standards for accreditation in use in more than 1,500 cancer programs across the U.S. In addition, panel members were provided with a full description of the *Choosing Wisely*® campaign and the interventions previously recommended by other organizations both for cancer and all other disorders.

Following initial submission of the candidate interventions, the panel discussed each intervention specifically evaluating the significance of the intervention, the potential scope of variation in care affected by the intervention, and the potential numbers of persons affected by this. The group also discussed the impact on short-term and long-term cost to be gained by implementation of each intervention. The panel voted on each intervention to select the final list of recommended interventions. The panel members then reviewed and refined the wording of each intervention and completed the bulleted supporting documentation and literature citations. The final list of interventions was then approved by the panel and submitted to the leadership of the American College of Surgeons for final approval. The Commission on Cancer's disclosure and conflict of interest policy can be found at www.facs.org.

Commission on Cancer Panel Members

Stephen Edge, MD, FACS, Chair, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, NY
David Bentrem, MD, FACS, Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, IL
Daniel Kollmorgen, MD, FACS, University of Iowa, Des Moines, IA
Daniel McKellar, MD, FACS, Wayne Healthcare, Greenville, OH
Christopher Pezzi, MD, FACS, Abington Memorial Hospital, Abington, PA
Lee Wilke, MD, FACS, University of Wisconsin Health System, Madison, WI
David Winchester, MD, FACS, Medical Director, Cancer Programs, American College of Surgeons

Sources

Friese CR, Neville BA, Edge SB, Hassett MJ, Earle CC. Breast biopsy patterns and outcomes in Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Medicare data. *Cancer*. 2009 Feb 15;115(4):716-24.

Williams RT, Yao K, Stewart AD, Winchester DJ, Turk M, Gorchow A, Jaskowiak N, Winchester DP. Needle versus excisional biopsy for noninvasive and invasive breast cancer: report from the National Cancer Data Base, 2003 – 2008. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2011 Dec;18(13):3802-10.

James TA, Mace JL, Virnig BA, Geller BM. Preoperative needle biopsy improves the quality of breast cancer surgery. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2012;215(4):562-68.

Burkhardt JH, Sunshine JH. Core-Needle and surgical breast biopsy: comparison of three methods of assessing cost. *Radiology*. 1999;212:181-8.

Hewitt M, Greenfield S, Stovall E. From cancer patient to cancer survivor: lost in transition. Washington: National Academies Press; 2005, 506 p.

Hahn EE, Ganz PA. Survivorship programs and care plans in practice: variations on a theme. *J Oncol Practice*. 2011;7(2):70-5.

Ligibel JA, Denlinger CS. New NCCN guidelines for survivorship care. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*. 2013;11(5 Suppl):640-4.

Cowens-Alvarado R, Sharpe K, Pratt-Champman M, Willis A, Gansler T, Ganz PA, Edge SB, McCabe MS, Stein K. Advancing survivorship care through the National Cancer Survivorship Resources Center: developing American Cancer Society guidelines for primary care providers. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2013;63(3):147-50.

Khatcheressian JL, Hurley P, Bantug E, Esserman LJ, Grunfeld E, Halberg F, Hantel A, Henry NL, Muss HB, Smith TJ, Vogel VG, Wolff AC, Somerfield MR, Davidson NE; American Society of Clinical Oncology. Breast cancer follow-up and management after primary treatment: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline Update. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013 Mar 1;31(7):961-5.

Desch CE, Benson AB, Somerfield MR. Colorectal cancer surveillance: 2005 update of an American Society of Clinical Oncology practice guideline. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23:8512-19.

Ligibel JA, Denlinger CS. New NCCN Guidelines for Survivorship Care. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2013;11(5 Suppl):640-4.

Cowens-Alvarado R, Sharpe K, Pratt-Champman M, Willis A, Gansler T, Ganz PA, Edge SB, McCabe MS, Stein K. Advancing survivorship care through the National Cancer Survivorship Resource Center: developing American Cancer Society guidelines for primary care providers. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2013 May;63(3):147-50.

Khatcheressian JL, Hurley P, Bantug E, Esserman LJ, Grunfeld E, Halberg F, Hantel A, Henry NL, Muss HB, Smith TJ, Vogel VG, Wolff AC, Somerfield MR, Davidson NE; American Society of Clinical Oncology. Breast cancer follow-up and management after primary treatment: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013 Mar;31(7):961-5.

Desch CE, Benson AB, Somerfield MR. Colorectal cancer surveillance: 2005 update of an American Society of Clinical Oncology practice guideline. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23:8512-9.

Kaufmann M, von Minckwitz G, Mamounas EP, Cameron D, Carey LA, Cristofanilli M, Denkert C, Eiermann W, Gnani M, Harris JR, Karn T, Liedtke C, Mauri D, Rouzier R, Ruckhaeberle E, Semiglazov V, Symmans WF, Tutt A, Pusztai L. Recommendations from an international consensus conference on the current status and future of neoadjuvant systemic therapy in primary breast cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2012 May;19(5):1508-16.

Thompson DA, Makary MA, Dorman T, Pronovost PJ. Clinical and economic outcomes of hospital acquired pneumonia in intra-abdominal surgery patients. *Ann Surg.* 2006 Apr;243(4):547-52.

Katlic MR, Facktor MA, Berry SA, McKinley KE, Bothe A Jr., Steele GD Jr. ProvenCare lung cancer: a multi-institutional improvement collaborative. *CA Cancer J Clin.* Nov-Dec;61(6):382-96.

Cassivi SD, Allen MS, Vanderwaerdt GD, Ewoldt LL, Cordes ME, Wigle DA, Nichols FC, Pairolero PC, Deschamps C. Patient-centered quality indicators for pulmonary resection. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008 Sep;86(3):927-32.

Office of quality and performance. Timeliness of lung cancer care in veterans with lung cancer. Washington (DC): Veterans Health Administration. 2008 Apr 4,

Quality of colorectal cancer care in the VA 2003-2006: national and VISN results of Office of Quality and Performance special study. Washington (DC): Veteran Health Affairs 2009. 10 p.

Delaney CP, Zutshi M, Senagore AJ, Remzi FH, Hammel J, Fazio VW. Prospective, randomized, controlled trial between a pathway of controlled rehabilitation with early ambulation and diet and traditional postoperative care after laparotomy and intestinal resection. *Dis Colon Rectum.* 2003 Jul;46(7):851-9.

Senagore AJ, Delaney CP, Mekhail N, Dugan A, Fazio VW. Randomized clinical trial comparing epidural anesthesia and patient-controlled analgesia after laparoscopic segmental colectomy. *Br J Surg.* 2003 Oct;90(10):1195-9.

Lewis KS, Whipple JK, Michael KA, Quebbeman EJ. Effect of analgesic treatment on the physiological consequences of acute pain. *Am J Hosp Pharm.* 1994 Jun 15;51(12):1539-54.

Weeks JC, Satalano PJ, Cronin A, Finkelman MD, Mack JW, Keating NL, Schrag D. Patients' expectations about effects of chemotherapy for advanced cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2012 Oct 25;367(17):1616-25.

About the ABIM Foundation

The mission of the ABIM Foundation is to advance medical professionalism to improve the health care system. We achieve this by collaborating with physicians and physician leaders, medical trainees, health care delivery systems, payers, policymakers, consumer organizations and patients to foster a shared understanding of professionalism and how they can adopt the tenets of professionalism in practice.

To learn more about the ABIM Foundation, visit www.abimfoundation.org.



About the Commission on Cancer

The Commission on Cancer (CoC) is a consortium of 50 professional organizations dedicated to improving survival and quality of life for cancer patients through standard-setting, prevention, research, education and the monitoring of comprehensive quality care.



Established by the American College of Surgeons in 1922, the multidisciplinary CoC establishes standards to ensure quality, multidisciplinary and comprehensive cancer care delivery in health care settings; conducts surveys in health care settings to assess compliance with those standards; collects standardized data from CoC-accredited health care settings to measure cancer care quality; uses data to monitor treatment patterns and outcomes and enhance cancer control and clinical surveillance activities, and develops effective educational interventions to improve cancer prevention, early detection, cancer care delivery and outcomes in health care settings. For more information, visit www.facs.org.